

# LOGIC EXERCISES: WEEK 6

## QUESTION 1

Suppose you were asked to determine the truth-value of  $(P \wedge (Q \rightarrow P))$  in a given  $L_1$ -structure  $A$ . How many sentence-letters would need to be assigned truth-values by that  $L_1$ -structure? And what would your answer be if the sentence in question was instead  $(P \wedge (Q \rightarrow R))$ ?

## QUESTION 2

Produce  $L_2$ -structures that are counterexamples to the following incorrect claims of validity.

- i.  $\forall x \exists y (Px \rightarrow Qy) \models \exists y \forall x (Px \rightarrow Qy)$
- ii.  $(\forall x Px \leftrightarrow \forall x Qx) \models \forall x (Px \leftrightarrow Qx)$
- iii.  $\exists x ((Px \wedge Qx) \rightarrow Rax) \models \forall x (Rax \vee (Px \vee Qx))$
- iv.  $\forall x (Px \rightarrow Rx), \forall x (Qx \rightarrow Rx), \exists x Rx \models \exists x (Px \wedge Qx)$
- v.  $\exists x \forall y (Rxy \wedge Ryx), \forall x (Px \rightarrow \forall y (Ryx \rightarrow Py)) \models Pa$
- vi.  $\forall x \forall y (Pxy \rightarrow Pyx), \forall x \forall y (Rxy \rightarrow \exists z Pzx), \exists x Rxa \models \forall x \forall y (Rxy \rightarrow Pxy)$

## QUESTION 3

Establish the following claims by producing proofs in natural deduction.

- i.  $(P \wedge Q) \vdash (Q \wedge P)$
- ii.  $\vdash (P \rightarrow (Q \vee P))$
- iii.  $(P \rightarrow Q) \vdash (\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P)$
- iv.  $\vdash ((P \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow \neg P)$
- v.  $(P \leftrightarrow Q), (Q \leftrightarrow R) \vdash (P \leftrightarrow R)$
- vi.  $(P \leftrightarrow Q), \neg Q \vdash \neg P$
- vii.  $((P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R) \vdash (P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R))$
- viii.  $\neg(P \rightarrow Q) \vdash P$
- ix.  $(P \rightarrow Q), (\neg P \rightarrow Q) \vdash Q$
- x.  $((P \wedge Q) \wedge R) \vdash ((P \rightarrow S) \rightarrow ((S \rightarrow T) \rightarrow T))$

## QUESTION 4

Formalize the following argument using  $L_1$ , explaining any difficulties you have or any liberties you take in doing so, and use a natural deduction proof to show that it is valid.

Since John doesn't know much about gardening, he'll only succeed in growing carrots if Mary helps him. After all, to grow them to maturity he will have to get the seeds to germinate and protect the plants from the carrot fly. And while he might succeed in the former by good fortune, without Mary's help he is bound to fail in the latter.